SPECIFIC CHARACTERS USED IN THE GENUS PSEUDOCOCCUS.

By P. E. SMITH. Entomological Laboratory of Cornell University.

INTRODUCTION.

The purpose of this investigation was to determine the value of the specific characters used in the descriptions of species of Pseudococcus. While all characters used have probably not been noted and while some of those omitted may be of considerable value still the more common and important characters have received attention.

The investigation has been limited to five species,* namely: agrifoliae Essig, citri Risso, crawii Coq., longispinus Targ., and obscurus Essig. A large number of individuals in each species has been used giving a comparative study for specific variation.

The writer wishes to thank Professor Alex. D. MacGillivray for the many invaluable suggestions given.

TABULATION AND STUDY OF CHARACTERS.

An examination of the descriptions of species in this genus shows a great similarity in the characters used. Provided that these characters do not vary beyond certain limits, this would make the identification of the species easier. However, if these characters vary to any great extent and overlap and merge into each other, the adherence by systematists to these characters instead of the introduction of new ones is unfortunate. and would make the identification of species very difficult, if not impossible. In that case the most valuable data in the descriptions would be the locality and host-plant data.

Body. 1. Size.—In the great majority of descriptions, the length and width of the body is given. In the measurements given, a great variation in the length of each species is noted.† Lengths such as 3-4 mm., 2-5 mm., 1.5-4 mm., are very frequent, showing the wide variation noted by those describing species. The tables! showing the lengths of the body, (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) in the five species studied do not show a variation any greater than this. A variation of from one and one-half

^{*} The writer does not express an opinion upon the validity of these species. † R. Mathewson. Can. Entom. XXXIX, p. 286. † In these and the following tables an ocular micrometer with a 2-5 and 1-8 inch objective were used. All measurements are given in microns.

to twice the length of the smaller specimens is shown. A greater variation would probably be found if a larger number of specimens were measured.

TABLE 1. PSEUDOCOCCUS OBSCURUS ESSIG.

Specimen	Length of Body	Length of Setal-loop	Length of Ana	of Setae I-lobes	Length of Setae of Anal-ring
I	2736	544	152	136	176
II			152	152	168
III	2784		160	160	184
IV	2526	736	152	144	192
VI	2304	720	152	148	190
IX	3120	560	156	146	185
XI	2040	320	136	135	176
XII	2688	848	137	145	168
XIII	3000	696		152	167
IVX	3072		150	142	168

TABLE 2. PSEUDOCOCCUS AGRIFOLIAE ESSIG.

Specimen	Length of Body			of Setae l-lobes	Length of Setae of Anal-ring	
I	2928	1160	221	216	240	
11	3312	1129	224	223	200	
111	4200	1165		208	184	
V	3336		182	184	176	
VII	4205	120	208	225	292	
X	2928	400	200	•	200	
XIII	3120	405		215	248	
XVII	4080		208	203	208	

TABLE 3. PSEUDOCOCCUS CITRI RISSO.

Specimen	Length of Body	Length of Setal-Ioop	Length of Ana	Length of Setae of Anal-ring	
1	3120	416	162	178	108
11	2304	256	180	163 •	108
111	2526	}	245	223	120
IV	2808		216	209	129
V	2664	448		228	118
VI	2448	240	221	216	117
VII	3144		181	182	120
VIII	2328		192		115
IX	2662	144	235	216	125
X	2712		225	233	130

TABLE 4. PSEUDOCOCCUS CRAWII COO.

Specimen	Length of Body	Length of Setal-loop	Length of Ana		Length of Setae of Anal-ring	
I	3048	98	228	245	144	
IV	2184	101	241	239	168	
V	2160	120		200	154	
A	2256		216	215	176	
В	2160			256	192	
VI	2165			248	201	
VII	2208	108	208	201	169	
VIII	2376		200	176	160	
1X	2373		224	222	184	
X	2448		223	220	160	

TABLE 5. PSEUDOCOCCUS LONGISPINUS TARG.

Specimen	Length of Body	Length of Setal-loop	Length of Ana		Length of Setae of Anal-ring
1	2088		132	120	139
11	2160		130	124	132
III	1944		96	108	120
IV	2328		95	113	121
VI	2664		113	121	132
VII	2592		127	128	137
VIII	2952		125	123	144
X	3312		228	126	131
A	2950		120	119	133
В	2526		115	122	131

Also only the larger individuals were studied because of the danger of including those in the nymphal stage. Each species was collected from the same or neighboring host plants and were apparently under similar conditions, so that size cannot be taken as a specific character of any great importance. These measurements were taken from mounts. If unmounted specimens were used, the variation would probably be still greater, as then a second factor, namely, the amount of secretion present, would enter. Because of the great variation in size of the different individuals in a species the size of a specimen can be of but little if any value in specific determination.

2. Shape.—In descriptions the shape of the body is variously given as elongate-ovate, rounded-oval, convex, tapering at ends, elongate, etc., words which are nearly synonymous. A few species are stated to be considerably out of the ordinary

in shape, but in general synonyms are used in the descriptions of body shape. In the species studied, this could not be an important specific character as all the species studied were of practically the same shape. However, in some species, this might be a distinguishing character.

- 3. Color.—In descriptions the color of specimens is usually given, being stated as, whitish, greyish, pinkish, with a red tinge, etc. The dermis of specimens in this genus is red. The color of the insect depends on the extent that this dermis is hidden by the waxy secretion. The amount of waxy secretion depends largely on the position in which the individual develops. Those in exposed position requiring more of the waxy covering as a protection than those developing in well protected situations. This variation in color is well shown in agrifoliae Essig. The color in this species is usually of a reddish, pinkish, or pinkish brown. The writer has found specimens, however, ovipositing in exposed positions which were completely covered with the waxy secretion and through which the red dermis was not visible. Thus all variations from a white to a red specimen were found. In the other species studied the color was normally white. In the five species studied color as a character for separating the species could not be relied upon.
- 4. Segmentation.—In many descriptions the segmentation is referred to as distinct or not distinct. This is a character that is not used to any great extent. This is fortunate as the value of the prominence of the segmentation as a specific character is extremely doubtful. In mounted specimens it would depend to a large extent upon the method used in preparation. The segmentation in chloroform mounts is well preserved. In caustic potash mounts it would be largely obliterated. In unmounted as well as mounted specimens the age and size of the specimens is of great importance. In nymphs of Pseudococcus the segmentation is very distinct. As it passes through its last moult and becomes an adult, this distinctness of the segmentation is lost to a degree. Then as the insect becomes more and more distended with eggs this tendency to lose its prominent segmentation is increased. Also the distinctness of the segmentation in unmounted specimens would depend somewhat upon the amount of secretion covering the insect. With these factors it does not seem that the distinctness of the segmentation can be a very satisfactory specific character.

ANTENNAE.—The number of segments of the antennae is a generic and not a specific character, and so is of no importance in separating species. Several species have been described with seven segmented antennae. The number of segments, eight, of the type of the genus, longispinus Targ, has been adopted in this paper. However, a dimorphism has been described in two instances, viz.: by Folsom for trifolii Forbes, and by Essig for agrifoliae Essig. In these descriptions there is said to be a winter form which has seven segmented antennae; this form giving rise to the summer form with the normal eight segmented antennae. If further investigation shows these observations to be correct, it will be of both specific and generic importance.

The comparative length of the different segments of the antennae is a character that is the most used of any of the characters of the insect's body. The relative length of the segments and the formulae deduced from the measurements is almost invariably contained in descriptions. Sometimes a considerable variation is noted, several formulae being given.

In this study ten specimens of each species were used. Aside from the question of variation which will be taken up later, the relative length of the antennal segments is not a desirable character to use. The greatest difficulty in its use is the difficulty of making correct measurements and the determination of the exact limits of the various segments. The chitin is not continuous from one segment to the next and consequently the portion between the chitinous parts of the segments, the conjunctiva, is not visible or only slightly so in well cleared specimens. Consequently, in making measurements, the determination of the end of a segment will be only approximately at the center of the conjunctiva. This difficulty will be much increased if there are some bends in the antennae. A second difficulty is to determine some point at the end of the segment from which the measurement will always be taken. This difficulty is most apparent with the first segment. This segment is an irregular truncated cone with the sides of different lengths, and but little longer than the width across the base. It will be seen that the determination of the same points for the measurement of this segment would be nearly impossible. In the tables given the writer does not feel that the measurements of the first segment are dependable. Another difficulty in getting dependable formulae is the very slight difference in

the length of some of the segments compared to each other. A difference of only two or three microns is all that is found in some of the segments. It would be exceedingly difficult to eliminate inaccuracies to the extent that the formulae would not be changed by them. Or in other words, the limit of error is so small that error, even with the greatest care, is bound to Unconsciously the measurements would be made to conform to a given formula or to other measurements. writer continually met this difficulty. The measurements given in Tables 6-10 were taken with an ocular micrometer at a magnification of 660 diameters. Much more accurate work was possible than would have been with a camera lucida.

TABLE 6 Depundenceus CRAWII Con

		TABL.	E 0.	PSEUDO	coccus	CKAWI	r Coq.		
Specimen	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	Sth	Formulae
I	60	67	74	55	38	46	48	103	83214765
	65	67	77	53	48	41	48	106	83214(75)6
II	67	72	87	48	50	48	48	110	83215(647)
	62	79	84	46	48	46	53	115	832175(64)
III	60	72	74	53	58	46	50	108	83215476
	62	67	79	53	48	48	50	108	832147(65)
IV	70	72	94	55	58	53	48	108	83215467
	70	77	96	46	53	48	48	118	83215(67)4
V	60	72	96	50	60	53	48	115	832I5647
	67	74	94	43	58	48	50	117	832I5764
· VI	62	77	91	46	55	50	50	118	83215(67)4
	65	79	86	48	70	46	48	110	83251(47)6
VIII	62	70	82	53	53	53	46	115	8321(456)7
	62	67	82	50	53	50	50	115	83215(467)
IX	60 60	67 70	74 74	48 48	55 65	48 48	53 53	108 113	832157(64) 832157(64)
X	60	67	79	48	50	46	50	108	8321(57)46
	58	67	77	48	52	43	48	108	83215(47)6
XI	65	67	72	48	48	53	50	106	832167 (45)
	60	69	77	43	48	41	48	106	8321 (57) 46

An examination of the tables mentioned will show the great variation met with. Following herewith is a discussion of the tables of each species:-

CRAWII Coq. Formula (2, 3, 8) 5, 4, 7, 6, 1, Coq. West Am. Scientist '89.

There is no question about the determination of this species. They were all taken from one plant of white sage (Ramona polystachia) at Santa Paula, California. In the tables a formula is found which agrees with the one given by Coquillett. In but one specimen was the formula the same for the right and left antennae of the same individual. All the other formulae differed as much as the specifically diagnostic formulae published for all the species of Pseudococcus.

Longispinus Targ. Formula, (2, 3, 8) (1, 4, 5, 6) 7. Newstead, "British Coccidae." Vol. II. The specimens examined were taken from palms in the Horticultural Forcing-house of Cornell University. Of the ten specimens measured, the formulae of the right and left antennae of but one specimen were identical. No formula was found which agreed with the one given by Newstead. The formulae varied as much as the specifically diagnostic formulae published for all the species of Pseudococcus.

TABLE 7. PSEUDOCOCCUS LONGISPINUS TARG.

		TABLI		SECIOO SE				TAKG.	
Specimen	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	Sth	Formulae
1	79	79	S4	41	53	43	50	101	83(12)5746
	84	79	84	43	50	43	48	96	8(31)257(64)
11	65	72	79	36	41	38	46	103	83217564
	67	67	74	38	46	36	43	101	83(12)5746
111	58	65	63	36	41	38	43	96	82317564
	60	62	60	36	43	34	41	91	82(13)5746
IV	62	62	70	31	48	41	48	108	83(21)(75)64
	58	58	70	34	48	38	43	96	83(21)5764
V	55	72	67	29	50	29	43	106	\$23157 (46)
	58	72	65	36	48	43	46	106	\$2315764
VI	62	72	74	43	60	46	48	106	83215764
	60	77	74	46	58	48	48	106	82315(76)4
VII	$\frac{74}{72}$	72 82	74 91	48 50	62 62	43 46	43 48	101 103	8(13)254(67) 83215476
VIII	65	67	70	43	50	41	43	98	83215(47)6
	70	72	70	41	46	43	46	96	82(31)(75)64
IX	55	65	65	36	48	38	46	101	8(32)15764
	60	65	65	34	50	41	43	101	8(23)15764
X	62	72	65	43	58	41	48	101	82315746
	62	70	65	41	48	43	43	103	82315(76)4

TABLE S. PSEUDOCOCCUS AGRIFOLIAE ESSIG.

=							-		
Specimen	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	Sth	Formulae
1	94 89	77 82	74 74	50 53	55 55	50 53	48 ., 48	108 115	81235(46)7 81235(46)7
11	72	72 74	74 72	48 48	58 48	48 53	41 53	106 108	83(21)5(46)7
V	$\frac{72}{72}$	79 79	72 72	48 48	48 53	48 53	50 43	113 110	82(13)7(456) 82(13)(56)47
VII	$\frac{72}{72}$	82 82	72 70	53 53	60 60	50 50	48 53	115 118	82(13)5467 82135(47)6
VIII	$\frac{74}{72}$	72 70	74 79	89 89	48 48	53 98	108 98		74(13)265 (76)43125
XIII	74 77	91 84	82 82	65 65	70 72	55 60	48 53	113 115	82315467 82315467
XIV	82 79	82 79	74 77	53 50	60 65	53 50	55 50	110 120	8(12)357(46) 8(12)35(467)
XV1	77 74	84 79	74 74	55 50	60 62	50 58	53 50	115 110	82135476 82(13)56(47)
XVII	$\frac{72}{72}$	77 82	72 74	53 55	60 55	53 53	50 46	115 110	82(13)5(46)7 8231(45)67
								1	

AGRIFOLIAE ESSIG. No formula is given in the description. The description refers however to the figures of the antennae for the relative length of the segments. Measuring the figure, the following formula is constructed, 7, 1, 3, 2, 4, 6, 5. The figure is evidently taken from a nymph, as this species has normally eight segmented antennae. These specimens were all taken from a single oak tree (Quercus agrifoliae) at Santa Paula, California, and are from the lot of specimens that the type of the species was taken. In two specimens the formulae of the right and left antennae were found to be the same although the formula of each specimen is different. The formulae of the twenty antennae varied as much as the specifically diagnostic formulae published for the species of Pseudococcus.

TABLE 9. PSEUDOCOCCUS CITRI RISSO.

Specimen	ſst	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	Sth	Formulae
I	67	60	58	41	41	41	43	101	S1237 (456)
	62	60	60	43	43	48	48	103	S1 (23) (67) (45)
11	55	60	55	38	36	46	46	103	82(13)(67)45
	60	58	50	36	41	38	43	101	81237564
111	60	67	72	41	48	46	55	106	83217564
	60	65	72	41	48	46	53	108	83217564
1V	60	65	60	36	41	36	50	110	82(13)75(64)
	65	60	62	36	36	38	48	108	813276(54)
V	60	62	67	36	48	43	53	113	83217564
	58	62	65	36	43	43	50	113	83217(65)4
VI	$\frac{65}{62}$	72 72	67 65	46 48	48 46	48 50	50 53	103 110	82317(56)4 82317645
VII	67	60	67	36	38	41	48	106	8(13)27654
	67	58	60	38	41	43	43	98	8132(67)54
VIII	$\frac{67}{72}$	72 62	67 67	38 41	43 48	43 43	46 48	108 103	82(13)7(65)4 8132(75)64
IX	60	67	60	43	43	38	41	96	82(13)(45)76
	60	65	60	43	41	46	43	96	82(13)6(74)5
X	67	67	72	41	41	41	50	108	83(12)7(456)
	67	65	67	43	48	46	48	108	8(31)2(75)64
			I		l				

CITRI RISSO. Formula 6, 3, 2, 1, 5, (4, 6, 7) Newstead, "British Coccidae" Vol. II. The specimens of this species were taken from coleus in the Horticultural Forcing-houses of Cornell University. No formula was found that agreed with the one given by Newstead. In one specimen the formulae for the right and left antennae were identical. The formulae of the twenty antennae varied as much as the specifically diagnostic formulae published for all the species of Pseudococcus.

OBSCURUS ESSIG. Formula 8, 1, 3, 2, 4, 7, 5, 6. Essig, "Pomona Jour. Ent." '09. The specimens of this species were taken from an elder tree (Sambucus glauca) at Santa Paula, California, and are from the lot of specimens that the type for the species was taken. In one specimen the formulae of the right and left antennae were identical.

TABLE 10. PSEUDOCOCCUS OBSCURUS ESSIG.

Specimen	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	Sth	Formulae
I	84 65	72 67	77 65	41 53	58 58	41 96	53	103	813257 (64) 62 (31) 54
11	84	72	79	48	55	46	48	84	(\$1)325(47)6
	72	75	84	43	53	43	48	98	\$32157(64)
111	82	79	84	29	60	50	53	101	83125764
	72	79	79	38	53	46	50	103	8(32)15764
IV	82	89	84	48	65	50	50	108	82315(67)4
	77	79	86	48	65	48	48	108	83215(764)
V	89	86	91	53	58	48	50	113	83125476
	74	84	96	50	65	55	48	113	83215647
VI	79	74	82	38	65	41	50	106	83125764
	74	72	82	36	60	41	48	98	83125764
VII	77	82	84	38	53	41	50	108	83215764
	89	74	74	41	53	41	46	106	81(23)57(64)
VIII	84	89	89	53	67	48	53	108	8(32)15(74)6
	84	84	96	48	70	41	50	110	83(21)5746
IX	72 65	79 65	74 60	41 46 ·	60 53	48 146	53	106	82315764 6(12)354
X	84	72	84	48	58	46	55	55;43	(13)25(78)469
	86	74	84	46	60	34	60	108	8132(75)46

No formula was found agreeing with the one quoted. In specimen X the right antenna has nine segments and in specimen IX the left antenna has six segments. An examination of Table 1 shows that this specimen is the largest specimen studied, and as it was found in an egg mass it was undoubtedly an adult. Specimen I, which will be seen to be of normal size (Table 1), also had the left antenna with six segments. This variation in the number of segments was also noted in other specimens. The formulae of the twenty antennae measured varied as much as the specifically diagnostic formulae for all the specimen in the genus Phenacoccus and two formulae placing the specimens in the genus Ripersia.

From the above review it will be readily seen that the relative length of the segments of the antennae are valueless as specific characters. Other workers as well have found this

character very variable. Kellogg & Smith, '04, found that in twenty-five specimens of Cereputo vuccae, a closely allied genus, no two formulae agreed, "and that there was practically as much variety in these formulae as there is among the eleven formulae published as specifically diagnostic for eleven North American species of the genera Cereputo and Phenacoccus." Again Tinsley, J. D., '08, in discussing the variation in the antennae of P. virgatus Ckll. gives eleven different formulae. Again the same author, 1900, in his description of a new species, P. texensis Tins., gives three different formulae. Ehrhorn, Edw. M., 1900, in his description of a new species, P. maritimus Ehr., gives four formulae. The universal use of antennal formulae in descriptions is unfortunate, as this has given an excuse for the creation of new species and is valueless in analytical tables for the determination of specimens. The sooner that the valuelessness of this character is realized by systematists describing new species of this genus, the sooner will a search for valuable characters be begun and a serious mistake in taxonomy be corrected.

Legs. Tables 11–15. The length of the legs is used to quite an extent in descriptions. Their length compared to the length of the antennae is often stated, also the length of the different segments compared with each other. They are often spoken of as long and strong. The presence of hairs is often noted, also that of knobbed digitules.

For the study of the legs five specimens of each species were used. They were the same specimens that were used in the study of the antennae. The measurements of the different segments are the greatest length of these segments, so that the sum of the lengths of the different segments will be greater than the length of the leg. Like the basal segment of the antennae, the coxa is very difficult to measure and the results are not entirely reliable.

At the right hand side of the tables, formulae are appended. These formulae are constructed in the same manner as the antennal formulae. The segments are numbered in order beginning with the coxa.

The formulae show but little if any more satisfactory results for specific determination than do the formulae of the antennae. The formulae of each pair of legs for each of the species will be discussed together.

Prothoracic Legs. In *crawii* Coq. and *citri* Risso the third segment is always the longest, the fourth segment coming next in order. In the remaining three species the third segment is usually the longest but may be equal to or less than the fourth segment. The first segment is always third in order in the formulae. In no species does the second segment bear any fixed relation to the fifth. The sixth segment is always much the shortest and comes last in order in the formulae.

Mesothoracic Legs. In *crawii* Coq. the third segment is always the longest. In the other species the position of the third and fourth segments vary in relation to each other. The first segment always comes third in the formulae. The second and fifth segments vary in relation to each other in each of the species. The sixth segment always comes last in the formulae.

Metathoracic Legs. In obscurus Essig and citri Risso the fourth segment is usually longer than the third. In obscurus Essig an exception is seen to this in the right leg of Specimen XI. In obscurus Risso an exception is seen to this in specimen VII. In the other species the fourth segment is always longer than the third. The first segment always comes third in the formulae. In no species do the second and third segments bear any fixed relation to each other. The sixth segment is always much the shortest and comes last in the formulae.

It will be seen from the above discussion that the variation in the formulae is too great for them to be of service in specific determination. Exceptions are found to any generalization that might be made. The limits within which there can be variation are so small that the variations are almost sure to go beyond these limits. Other parts of the legs as setae, digitules, etc., apparently offer no characters of a specific nature.

TABLE 11. PSEUDOCOCCUS CRAWII COQ.

		TADD		LSEOD	==-	5 CRAW	11 COQ	· =	
Seg- ment	Spec- imen	Side	Coxa	Tr.	Fe- mur	Tibia	Tar- sus	Claws	Formulae
	I	Rt. Lft.	192 198	120 120	288 288	252 252	114 108	39 36	341256 341256
cic	IV	Rt. Lft.	240 228	126 120	312 318	248 276	$\frac{120}{120}$	42 42	341256 341(25)6
Prothoracic	V	Rt. Lft.	228 222	120 120	276 270	240 240	108 108	42 42	$341256 \\ 341256$
Pre	V111	Rt. Lft.	210 210	120 120	276 282	216 216	108 108	30 30	341256 341256
	IX	Rt. Lft.	204	114	288	252	114	36	341(25)6
	1	Rt. Lft.	204 210	120 126	312 312	264 270	114 114	39 42	341256 341256
acic	1V	Rt. Lft.	246 234	120 126	324 336	306 312	$\frac{120}{120}$	12 42	$\frac{341(25)6}{341256}$
Mesothoracic	V	Rt. Lft.	240 228	120 120	300 300	276 282	114 114	42 42	$341256 \\ 341256$
Mes	VIII	Rt. Lft.	216 222	120 120	294 300	270 270	$\frac{114}{114}$	33 33	$\frac{341256}{341256}$
	1X	Rt. Lft.	210 216	120 120	294 300	276 288	$\frac{120}{120}$	36 36	$341(25)6 \\ 341(25)6$
	1	Rt. Lft.	222 222	126 126	330 330	342 336	114 114	42 45	431256 431256
icic	IV	Rt. Lft.	252 258	132 138	360 348	390 ,390	$\frac{132}{132}$	48 48	$\begin{array}{c} 431(25)6 \\ 431256 \end{array}$
cathor	V	Rt. Lft.	$\frac{240}{240}$	126 126	330 330	360 360	$\frac{120}{120}$	42 42	431256 431256
Met	VIII	Rt. Lft.	216 216	126 126	306 306	336 354	$\frac{126}{126}$	36 36	431(25)6 $431(25)6$
	1X	Rt. Lft.	240 240	132 132	336 342	366 360	132 132	39 39	431(25)6 431(25)6
Metathoracic	I IV V VIII	Rt. Lft. Rt. Lft. Rt. Lft. Rt. Lft. Rt. Lft. Rt. Lft. Rt. Lft.	216 222 222 258 258 240 240 216 216 240	120 126 126 132 138 126 126 126 126	300 330 330 360 348 330 330 306 306 336	288 342 336 390 360 360 360 360 360 360 360 36	120 114 114 132 132 120 120 126 126 132	36 42 45 48 48 42 42 36 39	341(25) 431256 431256 431256 431256 431256 431256 431(25) 431(25) 431(25)

TABLE 12. PSEUDOCOCCUS CITRI RISSO.

								1	
Seg- ment	Spec- imen	Side	Coxa	Tr.	Fe- mur	Tibia	Tar- sus	Claws	Formulae
	I	Rt. Lft.	174 174	90 90	210 104	186 180	90 90	24 24	$341(25)6 \\ 413(25)6$
sic	III	Rt.	198 192	90 90	234 240	210 210	96 96	24 21	341526 341526
Prothoracic	IV	Rt. Lft.	180 180	90 96	216 222	192 192	84 96	24 24	$\frac{341256}{341(25)6}$
Pro	Λι	Rt. Lft.	138 138	84 84	186 186	174 174	84 84	24 24	$341(25)6 \\ 341(25)6$
	VII	Rt. Lft.	174 174	90 90	210 210	192 192	90 96	24 24	341 (25)6 341526
	I	Rt. Lft.	180 186	90 96	234	228	102 102	27 27	341526
icic	III	Rt. Lft.	210 210	102 102	264 264	240 246	102 102	30 30	341(25)6 $341(25)6$
Mesothoracic	IV	Rt. Lft.	186 174	102 102	240 240	234 228	96 96	24 24	341256 341256
Mes	VI	Rt. Lft.	144 156	90 90	210 210	210 210	96 96	30 30	(34)1526 (34)1526
	VII	Rt. Lft.	180 180	96 90	240 234	228 216	96 99	27 27	341(25)6 341526
	I	Rt. Lft.	196 196	96 96	234 240	234 248	108 108	30 30	(34)1526 431526
ıcic	III	Rt. Lft.	222 216	114 108	276 276	282 282	108 108	30 33	431256 431(25)6
Metathoracic	IV	Rt. Lft.	192 192	108 108	258 258	270 270	108 108	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 431(25)6 \\ 431(25)6 \end{array}$
Met	VI	Rt. Lft.	168 168	96 96	216 228	228 240	108 108	30 30	431526 431526
	VII	Rt. Lft.	186 194	102 92	252 252	252 246	102 102	30 30	(34)1(25)6 341526

TABLE 13. PSEUDOCOCCUS LONGISPINUS TARG.

Seg- ment	Spec- imen	Side	Coxa	Tr.	Fe- mur	Tibia	Tar- sus	Claws	Formulae
Prothoracic	VI	Rt. Lft.	162 180	96 96	240 240	240 240	102 102	24 24	(34)1526 (34)1526
	VII	Rt. Lft.	216 216	114 114	270 270	240 240	108 108	24 24	341256 341256
	VIII	Rt. Lft.	168 180	96 96	234 234	216 216	108 108	30 27	341526 341526
	IX	Rt. Lft.	156 156	102 96	216 216	204 204	90 96	24 24	$341256 \\ 341(25)6$
	X	Rt. Lft.	180 180	96 96	240 240	240 240	108 108	24 24	(43)1526 (43)1526
leie	VI	Rt. Lft.	180 180	108 102	264 264	252 270	114 114	30 30	341526 431526
	VII	Rt. Lft.	222 224	120 120	300 300	294 294	120 120	30 30	341(25)6 341(25)6
Mesothoracic	VIII	Rt. Lft.	192 192	108 108	258 252	$\frac{240}{240}$	108 108	30 30	341(25)6 $341(25)6$
Mes	IX	Rt. Lít.	162 162	102 102	228 228	240 234	108 108	30 30	431526 431526
	X	Rt. Lft.	192 186	108 108	264 270	$\frac{264}{264}$	108 108	30 30	(34)1(25)6 341(25)6
Metathoracic	VI	Rt. Lft.	204 204	114 120	306 300	348 360	120 120	30 30	431526 431(52)6
	VII	Rt. Lft.	240 240	132 132	312 318	348 354	$\frac{120}{120}$	30 30	431256 431256
	VIII	Rt. Lft.	210 204	114 114	288 282	306 300	114 120	30 30	431 (52)6 431526
	1X	Rt. Lft.	180 180	108 108	252 258	294 300	120 120	30 30	431526 431526
	X	Rt. Lft.	204 198	120 114	300 300	318 324	114 114	30 30	431256 431(25)6

TABLE 14. Pseudococcus obscurus Essig.

Seg- ment	Spec- imen	Side	Coxa	Tr.	Fe- mur	Tibia	Tar- sus	Claws	Formulae
Prothoracic	1V	Rt. Lft.	186 180	102 102	264 270	258 264	102 102	24 27	341(25)6 $341(25)6$
	V	Rt. Lft.	198 204	96 96	258 264	258 258	108 108	30 27	(34)1526 341526
	V11	Rt. Lft.	156 150	90 90	240 246	240 234	114 102	30 30	(34)1526 341526
	V111	Rt. Lft.	180 180	$\frac{102}{102}$	264 264	258 258	108 108	30 30	$341526 \\ 341526$
	XI	Rt. Lft.	180 180	102 102	258 270	246 258	102 102	24 24	341 (25)6 341 (25)6
	1V	Rt. Lft.	198 192	108 108	300 300	312 312	114 114	30 30	431526 431526
acic	V	Rt. Lft.	210 210	$\frac{120}{120}$	300 300	312 312	114 114	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 431256 \\ 431256 \end{array}$
Mesothoracic	V11	Rt. Lft.	150 150	96 102	288	282	108 108	30 30	341526
Mes	V111	Rt. Lft.	204 210	108 108	300 300	312 306	108 114	33 30	431(25)6 431526
	X1	Rt. Lft.	180 180	120 108	300 300	294 288	108 108	30 30	341256 341(25)6
Metathoracic	IV	Rt. Lft.	228 210	120 120	330 330	360 360	120 120	30 30	431(25)6 $431(25)6$
	7.	Rt. Lft.	222 228	120 120	330 330	366 360	120 120	30 30	431(25)6 $431(25)6$
	V1I	Rt. Lft.	210 204	120 108	300 300	330 330	114 114	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 431256 \\ 431526 \end{array}$
	VIII	Rt. Lft.	216 216	114 120	224 230	360 360	120 120	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 431526 \\ 431(25)6 \end{array}$
	XI	Rt. Lft.	210 210	120 120	324 318	318 330	120 120	30 33	$341(25)6 \\ 431(25)6$

TABLE 15. PSEUDOCOCCUS AGRIFOLIAE ESSIG.

TABLE 13. PSEUDOCOCCUS AGRIFOLIAE ESSIG.									
Seg- ment	Spec- imen	Side	Coxa	Tr.	Fe- mur	Tibia	Tar- sus	Claws	Formulae
Prothoracic	VIII	Rt. Lft.	208 200	112 104	256 248	216 216	96 88	32 32	341256 341256
	X	Rt. Lft.	224 232	120 120	280 288	272 286	96 88	32 32	$\frac{341256}{341256}$
	XIII	Rt. Lft.	248 240	120 120	288 288	304 304	96 96	32 32	431256 431256
	XIV	Rt. Lft.	216	112	280	272	104	32	341256
	XVI	Rt. Lft.	224 232	112 112	260 280	264 264	96 104	32 32	341256 341256
	VIII	Rt. Lft.	208 200	120 112	288 280	288 272	112 112	32 32	(34)1256 341(25)6
acic	X	Rt. Lft.	232 240	128	312	312	104 112	36 36	(34)1256
Mesothoracic	XIII	Rt. Lft.	240 240	120 124	328 328	336 336	104 104	36 36	431256 431256
Me	XIV	Rt. Lft.	232 224	120 120	296 296	296 304	112 [12	32 32	(34)1256 431256
	XVI	Rt. Lft.	216 224	112 120	304 312	304 296	112 112	32 32	(34)I(25)6 34I256
	VIII	Rt. Lft.	224 216	128 128	312 304	344 320	$\frac{120}{120}$	36 36	431256 431256
acic	X	Rt. Lft.	248 248	128 128	$\frac{352}{346}$	432 424	120 120	36 36	$\begin{array}{c} 431256 \\ 431256 \end{array}$
Metathoracic	XII	Rt. Lft.	$\frac{256}{264}$	128 136	360	432	112	40	431256
	XIV	Rt. Lft.	248 232	128 120	$\frac{336}{312}$	366 366	120 120	36 36	431256 431(25)6
	XVI	Rt. Lft.	232 240	128 128	328 320	360 354	120 120	32 32	431256 431256

MARGINAL WAX FILAMENTS. The number, length and stoutness of the marginal wax filaments is a character often used. The length of the filaments compared to the length of the body and to each other is the form most used. The favorite character for separating *longispinus* Targ. and *citri* Risso is the extreme length of the caudal filaments in the former.

This character refers to specimens before treatment with caustic potash. Only a slight study has been made of this character because living specimens of three of the five species studied were not available. A difference however has been noted between citri Risso and longispinus Targ., in the former the appendages are robust and covered with granules of wax, in the latter the appendages are slender and do not have granules upon them, being more linear and wire like. The objection to the use of this character in the determination of species is the ease with which the filaments are removed and the danger that the specimens consequently would not be in a natural condition. Also in recently moulted specimens the normal waxy covering would not be formed. The length of the caudal filaments in longispinus Targ. is evidently a good character if the specimens can be examined in a normal condition.

ANAL LOBES.—The anal lobes are frequently mentioned as being faintly indicated, normal or prominent. Also the presence of one or more setae is occasionally used.

The observations made upon the segmentation of specimens would apply very largely to a discussion of the anal lobes. As a specimen becomes older and more distended with eggs the anal lobes become less prominent. Consequently in the use of this character the age of the individual would have to be carefully considered. In the setae of the anal lobes there is evidently a good character. (Tables 1-5). Upon each anal lobe there are generally several setae. One of these, however, is much longer and more robust than the others and is usually situated at the caudal extremity of the lobe. This is the seta referred to in the tables and discussion. The variation in the length of this seta is considerable, but the limits of variation are so great that this does not lessen the value of the character. The comparison of the length of this seta to the length of the setae of the anal ring is probably the most useful way of expressing the character.

SETAE OF THE ANAL RING.—The number of setae on the anal ring is a generic character and although often given in descriptions is of no importance as a specific character. Their length compared to some other part of the insect is seldom mentioned.

However, the length of these setae is a very promising specific character. The variation is considerable but not so great as to nullify the usefulness of the character. To determine the distal termination of the setae is sometimes difficult, but it can be done with considerable accuracy. Another difficulty is that the distal end of the setae have a tendency to curl, but in spite of this and the above mentioned factor the length of a seta can usually be quite accurately measured. As stated above the comparison of the length of the setae of the anal ring with the setae of the anal lobes is probably the most available way to use this character.

In agrifoliae Essig considerable variation is found and the character is hardly satisfactory, The setae of this species are especially difficult to measure because they are not robust.

Dermis.—The presence of setae and groups of spinnerets upon the dermis is a character commonly noted. This is usually not given enough in detail to be of assistance in the separation of species.

EGG-SAC.—Considerable use is made of the egg laying habits of the species. Whether the egg-sac is of a fibrous nature or is a mealy secretion is often stated. Also its presence or absence is noted, depending whether the species is ovoviviparous or oviparous.

If a study of specimens of Pseudococcus can be made with the insects in a natural condition, the manner of oviposition is a good specific character. Whether a species is ovoviviparous or oviparous is not always a sharply separated condition, for an individual may give birth to living young and also deposit eggs. However, the formation of an egg sac is an indication that a species is oviparous and the formation of this egg-sac is constant for the species. In a normally ovoviviparous form a mealy secretion which is distinctly of a fibrous nature is made upon the plant. Crawii Coq. and longispinus Targ. do not form a distinct egg-sac but form a mealy secretion upon the plant. Citri Risso and obscurus Essig form an egg-sac of considerable size. Agrifoliae Essig forms an egg-sac but not so extensive a one as the last two named species.

The writer is working on a monograph of the North American species of this genus. He would be glad to exchange specimens of Coccidae for species of Pseudococcus not now in his collection. Correspondence to this end is invited.